

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/587,876	LEMMEL ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
MISOOK YU	1642	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) MISOOK YU. (3) _____.

(2) Brian Jones. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 June 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In response to the Examiner's request on June 11, 2010, Mr. David Vanik authorized to cancel the withdrawn claims. However, the specification contains amino acids sequences not identified by SEQ ID NO. Mr. Jones on 06/15/2010 stated that some of the sequences do not have SEQ ID NO in the CRF and the sequence listing. Applicant's representative (Mr. Brian Jones) was told that Qualye action would be mailed..